Case 4:16-cv-01534 Document 1-2 Filed in TXSD on 06/01/16 Page 1 of 25

Harris County Docket Sheet

2016-26094

COURT: 190th

FILED DATE: 4/22/2016 CASE TYPE: Insurance



LISTI, SAMUEL

Attorney: WORRALL, MATTHEW JAMES

VS.

ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYDS

Attorney: REYNA, JOHN BYRON

Docket Sheet Entries	



HCDistrictclerk.com

LISTI, SAMUEL vs. ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYDS

Cause: 201626094

CDI: 7

Court: 190

5/23/2016

APPEALS

No Appeals found.

COST STATMENTS

No Cost Statments found.

TRANSFERS

No Transfers found.

POST TRIAL WRITS

No Post Trial Writs found.

ABSTRACTS

No Abstracts found.

SETTINGS

No Settings found.

NOTICES

No Notices found.

SUMMARY

CASE DETAILS

File Date

4/22/2016

COURT DETAILS Court

190th

Case (Cause) Location

Civil Intake 1st Floor

Address

201 CAROLINE (Floor: 12)

HOUSTON, TX 77002 Phone:7133686310

Case (Cause) Status Case (Cause) Type

Active - Civil

JudgeName

PATRICIA J. KERRIGAN

Next/Last Setting Date

Insurance N/A

Court Type

Civil

Jury Fee Paid Date

4/25/2016

ACTIVE PARTIES

Name Type Post Attorney

Jdgm

LISTI, SAMUEL

PLAINTIFF - CIVIL

WORRALL, MATTHEW

JAMES

C/O 100 WAUGH DRIVE, HOUSTON, TX 77007

ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYDS

DEFENDANT - CIVIL

REYNA, JOHN

BYRON

C/O CT CORPORATION SYSTEM, DALLAS, TX 75201

COLBERT, ALLEN MICHAEL

DEFENDANT - CIVIL

5415 MARGARET LANE,, BEAUMONT, TX 77708

ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYDS MAY BE SERVED BY REGISTERED AGENT SERVING ITS REGISTERED AGENT

INACTIVE PARTIES

No inactive parties found.

JUDGMENT/EVENTS

Date	Description	Order	Post Pg	s Volum	e Filing	Person
		Signed	Jdgm	/Page	Attorney	Filing
5/20/2016	ANSWER ORIGINAL PETITION		0		REYNA, JOHN BYRON	ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYDS
4/22/2016	JURY FEE PAID (TRCP 216)		0			
4/22/2016	ORIGINAL PETITION		0		WORRALL, MATTHEW JAMES	LISTI, SAMUEL

SERVICES

Type	Status	Instrumen	t Person	Requested	l Issued Served	Returned Received	l Tracking	g Deliver To
CITATION (CERTIFIED	SERVICE ISSUED/IN) POSSESSION OF SERVING AGENCY	ORIGINAL PETITION	COLBERT, ALLEN MICHAEL	4/22/2016	4/26/2016		73240035	CVC/CTM SVCE BY CERTIFIED MAIL
CITATION (CERTIFIED	SERVICE) RETURN/EXECUTEL	ORIGINAL PETITION	ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYDS MAY BE SERVED BY SERVING ITS REGISTERED AGENT		4/26/2016 5/2/2016	5/6/2016	73240037	CVC/CTM SVCE BY CERTIFIED MAIL

DOCUMENTS

Number	Document	Post Jdgm	Date	Pgs
70339388	Defendant's Original Answer		05/20/2016	2
70165777	Domestic Return Receipt		05/06/2016	1
70015485	Certified Mail Receipts		04/27/2016	1
69983425	Certified Mail Tracking Number 7015 0640 0004 5594 0312		04/26/2016	1
69983426	Certified Mail Tracking Number 7015 0640 0004 5594 0329		04/26/2016	1
69934004	PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION		04/22/2016	35
-> 69934006	Civil Case Information Sheet		04/22/2016	1
-> 69934007	Civil Process Request Form		04/22/2016	1

Case 4:16-cv-01534 Document 1-2 Filed in TXSD on 06/01/16 Page 4 of 25 4/22/2016 4:36:22 PM

CIVIL CASE INFORMATION SHEET

Chris Daniel - District Clerk

Filed: 4/22/2016 4:36:22 PM

CIVIL CASE INFORMATION SHEET Harris County Envelope No: 10268061 CAUSE NUMBER (FOR CLERK USE ON 2016-26094 / COUNTY TO BE LUGO, BONNIE

STYLED Samuel Listi v. Allstate Texas Lloyds and Allen Michael Colbert

(e.g., John Smith v. All American Insurance Co; In re Mary Ann Jones; In the Matter of the Estate of George Jackson)

A civil case information sheet must be completed and submitted when an original petition or application is filed to initiate a new civil, family law, probate, or mental health case or when a post-judgment petition for modification or motion for enforcement is filed in a family law case. The information should be the best available at

1. Contact information for person	on completing case info	ormation sheet	16	Names of parties in	n case:			n or entity completing sheet is:
Name:	Email:			Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s):			Attorney for Plaintiff/Petitioner Pro Se Plaintiff/Petitioner	
Matthew J. Worrall	mworrall@pott	s-law.com		Samuel Listi	()			IV-D Agency
Address:	Telephone:							
100 Waugh Drive, Suite 350	713-963-8881						Addition	al Parties in Child Support Case:
	713-703-8881			Defendant(s)/Respo	ndent(s)):	Custodia	l Parent:
City/State/Zip:	Fax:			Allstate Texas Lloy	ds and			<u> </u>
Houston, Texas 77598	713-574-2938			Allen Michael Colb	ert		Non-Cus	todial Parent:
Signature:	State Bar No:						D	1 T-41
Marther of Workall	24070883			[Attach additional page as	necessary t	to list all parties]	Presume	u ramer;
2. Indicate case type, or identify	the most important iss	ue in the case	<u></u>					
	Civil	I Sarah awaran Manada I I Barah	A 1220 A 700 A			at the time to be a finished	Fan	ily Law
Contract	Injury or Dam	iage	1	Real Property	M	Iarriage Rela	ionship	Post-judgment Actions (non-Title IV-D)
Debt/Contract	☐Assault/Battery		Emin	ent Domain/		Annulment		☐Enforcement
☐Consumer/DTPA ☐Debt/Contract	☐Construction☐Defamation		Cond □Partit	lemnation tion		Declare Marri vorce	age Void	☐ Modification—Custody ☐ Modification—Other
Fraud/Misrepresentation	Malpractice	li	Quie	t Title		☐With Child		Title IV-D
Other Debt/Contract:	☐ Accounting ☐ Legal		☐Tresp	pass to Try Title r Property:	,	☐No Childre	n	Enforcement/Modification
Foreclosure	☐ Medical	. 1		r i roperty.				☐Paternity ☐Reciprocals (UIFSA)
Home Equity—Expedited	Other Profession	nal						Support Order
Other Foreclosure Franchise	Liability:	Ni.	Rela	ated to Criminal	N TV.N	Service (1999)	Managaran da	
ĭnsurance	☐Motor Vehicle Acc			Matters		Other Famil		Parent-Child Relationship
☐Landlord/Tenant ☐Non-Competition	☐Premises Product Liability		□Expu □Iudor	nction nent Nisi		Enforce Forei Judgment	gn	Adoption/Adoption with Termination
Partnership	Asbestos/Silica			Disclosure		Habeas Corpu		Child Protection
Other Contract:	Other Product Li			re/Forfeiture		Name Change		Child Support
	List Product:	Į L		of Habeas Corpus— ndictment		Protective Ord Removal of D		☐ Custody or Visitation☐ Gestational Parenting
	Other Injury or Dan	nage:	Other	:		of Minority		Grandparent Access
						Other:	ĺ	☐ Parentage/Paternity ☐ Termination of Parental
Employment	property of a second of the property of the feet	Other Civ	vil	an in material and provided from the background of the	20			Rights
Discrimination	☐Administrative Ap	peal [Lawy	er Discipline	1			Other Parent-Child:
Retaliation Termination	☐Antitrust/Unfair Competition			tuate Testimony ities/Stock				
Workers' Compensation	Competition Code Violations		_	nnes/Stock ous Interference				
Other Employment:	Foreign Judgment	Ī	Other					
	☐Intellectual Proper	ty						
Tax				Probate & N				
☐Tax Appraisal ☐Tax Delinquency	Probate/Wills/Intesta		ion			lianship—Adu lianship—Min		
Other Tax	☐Independent Ad					al Health	OI.	
	Other Estate Pr	oceedings			Other:	:		-
3. Indicate procedure or remedy,	if annlicable (way sala	et more than I	1. 18 18 1	n e	CANAL SEC			
☐Appeal from Municipal or Justi		Declaratory		ent	and containing	∏Prejuo	lgment Rem	nedy
Arbitration-related		Garnishmen					tive Order	
☐Attachment ☐Bill of Review	ı I	☐Interpleader ☐License	r			☐Receir ☐Seque		
☐Certiorari		Mandamus				Temp	orary Restra	ining Order/Injunction
□ Class Action □ Post-judgment □ Turnover . Indicate damages sought (do not select if it is a family law case);								
Less than \$100,000, including of			xpenses	. pre-judgment intere	est, and a	attornev fees		
Less than \$100,000 and non-mo	onetary relief	., , -	1 30	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,			
Over \$100, 000 but not more the Over \$200,000 but not more that								
Over \$1,000,000	ω, ψχ ₃ υυυ ₃ υυυ							

4/22/2016 4:36:22 PM Chris Daniel - District Clerk Harris County Envelope No. 10268061 By: Bonnie Lugo Filed: 4/22/2016 4:36:22 PM

2016-26094 / Court: 190

Cause No		
SAMUEL LISTI	§ s	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff,	§ §	
v.	§	HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
	§ 8	
ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYDS AND ALLEN	§	JUDICIAL DISTRICT
MICHAEL COLBERT	§	
Defendant	§ 8	
Dejenaam	8	

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, Samuel Listi, Plaintiff (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), and file this Original Petition against Defendants, Allstate Texas Lloyds ("Allstate") and Allen Michael Colbert ("Colbert") (to whom will be collectively referred to as "Defendants"), and respectfully would show this court as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, Samuel Listi, is an individual residing in and/or owning property in Harris County, Texas.
- 2. Defendant, Allstate, is an insurance company that engaged in the business of insurance in the State of Texas at all times material to this action. This defendant may be served by serving its Registered Agent for service of process: C T Corporation System, 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900, Dallas, Texas 75201-3136, via certified mail, return receipt requested.

3. Defendant, Allen Michael Colbert, is an individual residing in and domiciled in the State of Texas. This defendant may be served via certified mail, return receipt requested at 5415 Margaret Lane, Beaumont, Texas 77708.

DISCOVERY LEVEL

4. Plaintiff intends for discovery to be conducted under Level 2 of Rule 190 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.

JURISDICTION

- 5. The Court has jurisdiction over this controversy because the damages are within the jurisdictional limits of this court. Plaintiff is seeking monetary relief over \$200,000 but not more than \$1,000,000. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend this petition during and/or after the discovery process.
- 6. The Court has jurisdiction over Defendant, Allstate, because this defendant engaged in the business of insurance in the State of Texas, and Plaintiff's causes of action arise out of defendant's business activities in the State of Texas.
- 7. The Court has jurisdiction over Defendant, Colbert, because this defendant engages in the business of adjusting insurance claims in the State of Texas, and Plaintiff's causes of action arise out of defendant's business activities in the State of Texas.

VENUE

8. Venue is proper in Harris County, Texas, because the insured property is situated in Harris County, Texas. Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 15.032.

FACTS

9. Plaintiff is the owner of a property insurance policy ("the Policy") issued by Allstate.

- 10. Plaintiff owns the insured property located at 7915 Windswept, in Harris County (hereinafter referred to as "the Property"). Allstate sold the Policy insuring the Property to Plaintiff.
- 11. On or about January 8, 2016, a hail storm and/or windstorm struck Harris County, Texas, causing severe damage to homes and businesses throughout the region ("the Storm") including the Property. The Storm damaged the Property including extensive damage to Plaintiff's roof.
- 12. Plaintiff subsequently submitted a claim to Allstate for the damage the Property sustained as a result of the Storm. Plaintiff requested that Allstate cover the cost of repairs, including but not limited to, replacement of the roof pursuant to the property.
- 13. Defendant Allstate assigned Colbert as the individual adjuster ("the adjuster") on the claim. The adjuster was improperly trained and failed to perform a thorough investigation of the claim spending an inadequate amount of time inspecting Plaintiff's property. The adjuster conducted a substandard inspection of Plaintiff's Property evidenced by the adjuster's report, which failed to include all of Plaintiff's storm damages noted upon inspection. The damages the adjuster included in the report were grossly undervalued and did not allow for adequate funds to cover the cost of repairs to all the damages sustained.
- 14. Allstate and its personnel failed to thoroughly review and properly supervise the work of their assigned adjusters which ultimately led to the approving an improper adjustment and an inadequately unfair settlement of Plaintiff's claim. As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts and omissions set forth above and further described herein, Plaintiff was wrongfully denied on the claim and has suffered damages.
- 15. Together, Defendants set about to deny and/or underpay on properly covered damages.

Defendants failed to provide full coverage for the damages sustained by Plaintiff and under-scoped Plaintiff's damages, thereby denying adequate and sufficient payment on Plaintiff's claim. As a result of Defendants' unreasonable investigation, Plaintiff's claim was improperly adjusted, and Plaintiff was wrongfully denied on the claim and has suffered damages. The mishandling of Plaintiff's claim has also caused a delay in Plaintiff's ability to fully repair the Property, which has resulted in additional damages. To this date, Plaintiff has yet to receive the full payment that he is entitled to under the Policy.

- 16. As detailed in the paragraphs below, Allstate wrongfully denied Plaintiff's claim for repairs of the Property, even though the Policy provided coverage for losses such as those suffered by Plaintiff.
- 17. To date, Allstate continues to delay in the payment for the damages to the Property. As such, Plaintiff has not been paid in full for the damages to the Property.
- 18. Defendant Allstate failed to perform its contractual duties to adequately compensate Plaintiff under the terms of the Policy. Specifically, it refused to pay the full proceeds of the Policy, although due demand was made for proceeds to be paid in an amount sufficient to cover the damaged property, and all conditions precedent to recovery upon the Policy had been carried out and accomplished by Plaintiff. Allstate's conduct constitutes a breach of the insurance contract between Allstate and Plaintiff.
- 19. Defendants misrepresented to Plaintiff that the damage to the Property was not covered under the Policy, even though the damage was caused by a covered occurrence. Defendants' conduct constitutes a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. TEX. INS. CODE § 541.060(a)(1).

- 20. Defendants failed to make an attempt to settle Plaintiff's claim in a fair manner, although they were aware of their liability to Plaintiff under the Policy. Defendants' conduct constitutes a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. Tex. Ins. Code § 541.0060(a)(2)(A).
- 21. Defendants failed to explain to Plaintiff the reasons for their offer of an inadequate settlement. Specifically, Defendants failed to offer Plaintiff adequate compensation, without any explanation why full payment was not being made. Furthermore, Defendants did not communicate that any future settlements or payments would be forthcoming to pay for the entire losses covered under the Policy, nor did they provide any explanation for the failure to adequately settle Plaintiff's claim. Defendants' conduct is a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. Tex. Ins. Code § 541.060(a)(3).
- 22. Defendants failed to affirm or deny coverage of Plaintiff's claim within a reasonable time. Specifically, Plaintiff did not receive timely indication of acceptance or rejection, regarding the full and entire claim, in writing from Defendants. Defendants' conduct constitutes a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. Tex. Ins. Code § 541.060(a)(4).
- 23. Defendants refused to fully compensate Plaintiff, under the terms of the Policy, even though Defendants failed to conduct a reasonable investigation. Specifically, Defendants performed an outcome-oriented investigation of Plaintiff's claim, which resulted in a biased, unfair, and inequitable evaluation of Plaintiff's claim on the Property. Defendants' conduct constitutes a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. Tex. Ins. Code § 541.060(a)(7).
- 24. Defendant Allstate failed to meets it obligations under the Texas Insurance Code regarding

timely acknowledging Plaintiff's claim, beginning an investigation of Plaintiff's claim, and requesting all information reasonably necessary to investigate Plaintiff's claim, within the statutorily mandated time of receiving notice of Plaintiff's claim. Allstate's conduct constitutes a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Prompt Payment of Claims. Tex. Ins. Code § 542.055.

- 25. Defendant Allstate failed to accept or deny Plaintiff's full and entire claim within the statutorily mandated time of receiving all necessary information. Allstate's conduct constitutes a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Prompt Payment of Claims. Tex. Ins. Code § 542.056.
- 26. Defendant Allstate failed to meet its obligations under the Texas Insurance Code regarding payment of claim without delay. Specifically, it has delayed full payment of Plaintiff's claim longer than allowed and, to date, Plaintiff has not received full payment for the claim. Allstate's conduct constitutes a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Prompt Payment of Claims. Tex. Ins. Code § 542.058.
- 27. From and after the time Plaintiff's claim was presented to Defendant Allstate, the liability of Allstate to pay the full claim in accordance with the terms of the Policy was reasonably clear. However, Allstate has refused to pay Plaintiff in full, despite there being no basis whatsoever on which a reasonable insurance company would have relied to deny the full payment. Allstate's conduct constitutes a breach of the common law duty of good faith and fair dealing.
- 28. Defendants knowingly or recklessly made false representations, as described above, as to material facts and/or knowingly concealed all or part of material information from Plaintiff.
- 29. As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts and omissions, Plaintiff was forced to retain the professional services of the attorney and law firm who are representing them with respect to these causes of action.

30. Plaintiff's experience is not an isolated case. The acts and omissions Allstate committed in this case, or similar acts and omissions, occur with such frequency that they constitute a general business practice of Allstate with regard to handling these types of claims. Allstate's entire process is unfairly designed to reach favorable outcomes for the company at the expense of the policyholders.

CAUSES OF ACTION

31. Each of the foregoing paragraphs is incorporated by reference in the following:

I. Causes of Action Against Colbert

32. Allstate assigned Colbert to adjust this claim. Colbert was improperly trained and performed an outcome oriented and unreasonable investigation of Plaintiff's damages. Colbert did not properly assess all damages caused by the Storm and omitted covered damages from the report including the full extent of damage to the roof. Colbert refused to fully compensate Plaintiff for the full amount Plaintiff is entitled under the Policy. The outcome oriented investigation of Plaintiff's claim resulted in a biased evaluation of Plaintiff's damages to the Property and the estimated damages were severely underestimated.

A. Noncompliance with Texas Insurance Code: Unfair Settlement Practices

- 33. Defendant Colbert's conduct constitutes multiple violations of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. Tex. Ins. Code § 541.060(a). All violations under this article are made actionable by Tex. Ins. Code § 541.151.
- 34. Defendant Colbert's is individually liable for his unfair and deceptive acts, irrespective of the fact Colbert was acting on behalf of Allstate, because Colbert is a "person" as defined by TEX. INS. CODE § 541.002(2). The term "person" is defined as "any individual, corporation, association,

partnership, reciprocal or interinsurance exchange, Lloyds plan, fraternal benefit society, or other legal entity engaged in the business of insurance, including an agent, broker, adjuster or life and health insurance counselor." Tex. INS. Code § 541.002(2) (emphasis added). (See also *Liberty Mutual Insurance Co. v. Garrison Contractors, Inc.*, 966 S.W. 2d 482, 484 (Tex. 1998) (holding an insurance company employee to be a "person" for the purpose of bringing a cause of action against him or her under the Texas Insurance Code and subjecting him or her to individual liability)).

- Defendants' misrepresentations by means of deceptive conduct include, but are not limited to: (1) failing to conduct a reasonable inspection and investigation of Plaintiff's damages; (2) stating that Plaintiff's damages were less severe than they in fact were; (3) using their own statements about the non-severity of the damages as a basis for denying properly covered damages and/or underpaying damages; and (4) failing to provide an adequate explanation for the inadequate compensation Plaintiff received. Defendant Colbert's unfair settlement practices, as described above, of misrepresenting to Plaintiff material facts relating to the coverage at issue, constitutes an unfair method of competition and an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. Tex. Ins. Code § 541.060 (a)(1).
- 36. Defendant Colbert's unfair settlement practices, as described above, of failing to attempt in good faith to effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of the claim, even though liability under the Policy is reasonably clear, constitutes an unfair method of competition and an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. Tex. Ins. Code § 541.060(a)(2)(A).
- 37. Defendant Colbert failed to explain to Plaintiff the reasons for the offer or offers of an

inadequate settlement. Specifically, Defendant Colbert failed to offer Plaintiff adequate compensation without any explanation as to why full payment was not being made. Furthermore, Defendant Colbert did not communicate that any future settlements or payments would be forthcoming to pay for the entire losses covered under the Policy, nor was there any explanation for the failure as described above, of failing to promptly provide Plaintiff with a reasonable explanation of the basis in the Policy, in relation to the facts or applicable law, for the offer of a compromise settlement of Plaintiff's claim, constitutes an unfair method of competition and an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. Tex. Ins. Code § 541.060(a)(3).

- 38. Defendant Colbert's unfair settlement practices, as described above, of failing within a reasonable time to affirm or deny coverage of the claim to Plaintiff, or to submit a reservation of rights to Plaintiff, constitutes an unfair method of competition and an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. Tex. Ins. Code § 541.060(a)(4).
- 39. Defendant Colbert did not properly inspect the Property and failed to account for and/or undervalued Plaintiff's roof damage, although reported by Plaintiff to Allstate. Defendant Colbert's unfair settlement practices, as described above, of refusing to pay Plaintiff's claim without conducting a reasonable investigation, constitutes an unfair method of competition, and an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. Tex. Ins. Code § 541.060(a)(7).

II. Causes of Action Against Allstate

40. Allstate intentionally breached its contract with Plaintiff, intentionally violated the Texas Insurance Code and intentionally breached the common law duty of good faith and fair dealing.

A. Breach of Contract

41. Allstate breached the contract of insurance it had with Plaintiff. Allstate breached the contract by its failure/and or refusal to adequately pay the claim as it is obligated to do under the terms of the Policy in question and under the laws in the State of Texas.

B. Noncompliance with Texas Insurance Code: Unfair Settlement Practices

- 42. Defendant Allstate's conduct constitutes multiple violations of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. Tex. Ins. Code § 541.060(a). All violations under this article were made actionable by Tex. Ins. Code § 541.151.
- 43. Defendant Allstate's unfair settlement practice, as described above, of misrepresenting to Plaintiff material facts relating to the coverage at issue, constitutes an unfair method of competition and an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. Tex. Ins. Code § 5410.060(a)(1).
- 44. Defendant Allstate's unfair settlement practice, as described above, of failing to attempt in good faith to effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of the claim, even though Allstate's liability under the Policy was reasonably clear, constitutes an unfair method of competition and an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. Tex. Ins. Code § 541.060(a)(2)(A).
- 45. Defendant Allstate's unfair settlement practice, as described above, of failing to promptly provide Plaintiff with a reasonable explanation of the basis in the Policy, in relation to the facts or applicable law, for its offer of a compromise settlement of the claim, constitutes an unfair method

of competition and an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. TEX. INS. CODE § 541.060(a)(3).

- 46. Defendant Allstate's unfair settlement practices, as described above, of failing within a reasonable time to affirm or deny coverage of the claim to Plaintiff, or to submit a reservation of rights to Plaintiff, constitutes an unfair method of compensation and an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. Tex. Ins. Code § 541.060(a)(4).
- 47. Defendant Allstate's unfair settlement practice, as described above, of refusing to pay Plaintiff's claim without conducting a reasonable investigation, constitutes an unfair method of competition and an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. Tex. Ins. Code § 541.060(a)(7).

C. Noncompliance with Texas Insurance Code: Prompt Payment of Claims Statute

- 48. Plaintiff is entitled to 18% interest and attorney fees under TEX. INS. CODE §542.060 for violating the Texas Insurance Code, Prompt Payment of claims TEX. INS. CODE §542.051 *et. seq.*
- 49. Allstate failed to acknowledge receipt of Plaintiff's claim, commence investigation of the claim, and request from Plaintiff all items, statements, and forms that it reasonably believed would be required within the applicable time constraints under Tex. Ins. Code §542.055.
- 50. Allstate failed to notify Plaintiff in writing of its acceptance or rejection of the claim within applicable time constraints under Tex. INS. Code §542.056.
- 51. Allstate delayed the payment of Plaintiff's claim following its receipt of all items, statements, and forms reasonably requested and required, longer than the amount of time provided for under Tex. Ins. Code §542.058.

D. Breach of the Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing

52. Allstate breached the duty of good faith and fair dealing by failing to adequately and reasonably investigate and evaluate Plaintiff's claim while it knew or should have known, by the exercise of reasonable diligence, that its liability was reasonably clear.

E. Knowledge

53. Each of the acts described above, together and singularly, was done "knowingly" as that term is used in the Texas Insurance Code.

DAMAGES

- 54. Plaintiff would show that all of the aforementioned acts, taken together or singularly, constitute the producing causes of the damages sustained by Plaintiff.
- 55. The damages caused by the hail storm and/or windstorm have not been properly addressed or repaired in the months since the storm, causing further damages to the Property, and causing undue hardship and burden to Plaintiff. These damages are a direct result of Defendants' mishandling of Plaintiff's claim in violation of the laws set forth above.
- 56. For breach of contract, Plaintiff are entitled to regain the benefit of their bargain, which is the amount of his claim, together with attorney's fees.
- 57. For noncompliance with the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices, Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages, which include the loss of the benefits that should have been paid pursuant to the policy, court costs, and attorney's fees. For knowing conduct of the acts described above, Plaintiff ask for three times their actual damages. Tex. Ins. Code § 541.152.
- 58. For noncompliance with Texas Insurance Code, Prompt Payment of Claims, Plaintiff is entitled to the amount of the claim, as well as 18% (eighteen percent) interest per annum on the

amount of such claim as damages, together with attorney's fees. TEX. INS. CODE § 542.060.

- 59. For breach of the common law duty of good faith and fair dealing, Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages, including all forms of loss resulting from the insurer's breach of duty, such as additional costs, economic hardship, losses due to nonpayment of the amount the insurer owed, exemplary damages and damages for emotional stress.
- 60. For the prosecution and collection of this claim, Plaintiff has been compelled to engage the services of the attorney whose name is subscribed to this pleading. Therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to recover a sum for the reasonable and necessary services of Plaintiff's attorney in the preparation and trial of this action, including any appeals to the Court of Appeals and/or the Supreme Court of Texas

JURY DEMAND

61. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury and tender the appropriate fee.

DISCOVERY REQUESTS

- 62. Pursuant to Texas Rules of Civil Procedure 194, Plaintiff requests that each Defendant disclose, within 30 days of service of this request, the information or materials described in Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 194.2(a)-(l).
- 63. Defendants are requested to respond to the attached interrogatories and requests for production within fifty (50) days.

PRAYER

64. WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff prays that this court site Defendants to appear and answer herein and that Plaintiff has judgment taken against Defendants and recovers from Defendants all damages allowed by law, and that Plaintiff be awarded attorneys' fees for trial

and any appeal of this case, for pre-judgment and post judgment interest as allowed by law, costs of court, and such other and further relief, both general and special, at law or in equity, to which Plaintiff is justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

THE POTTS LAW FIRM, LLP

By: /s/ Matthew J. Worrall

Matthew J. Worrall SBN: 24070883 William H. Barfield SBN: 24031725

Andrew A. Woellner SBN: 24060850

100 Waugh Drive, Suite 350 Houston, Texas 77007 Telephone (713) 963-8881 Facsimile (713) 574-2938

Emails: mworrall@potts-law.com

wbarfield@potts-law.com awoellner@potts-law.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

Case 4:16-cv-01534 Document 1-2 Filed in TXSD on 06/01/16 Page 19 of 25 4/22/2016 4:36:22 PM

Chris Daniel - District Clerk

CIVIL PROCESS REQUEST FORM

Harris County Envelope No: 10268061 By: LUGO, BONNIE

2016-26094 / Court: 190 By: Lugo, Bonnie For Each Party Served you must furnish one (i) copy of the medianization 4:36:22 PM FOR WRITS FURNISH TWO (2) COPIES OF THE PLEADING PER PARTY TO BE SERVED

CASE NUMBER:	CURRENT COURT:
	For Types): Original Petition
FILE DATE OF MOTION: April 22, 2016	Month/ Day/ Year
SERVICE TO BE ISSUED ON (Please List Exactly As T	
1. NAME: Allstate Texas Lloyds	
	s 75201
	rse for specific type):
SERVICE BY (check one): ATTORNEY PICK-UP CIVIL PROCESS SERVER - Authorized Permand MAIL PUBLICATION: Type of Publication: NEWSPAPER OTHER, explain	SE DOOR, or OF YOUR CHOICE:
	N: Effective June1, 2010
Requesting Party, we require that the Requesting Party for mai ***********************************	SOFFICE requiring our office to MAIL something back to the provide a Self-Addressed Stamped Envelope with sufficient postage I back. Thanks you, ***********************************
	rse for specific type):
SERVICE BY (check one): ATTORNEY PICK-UP	CONSTABLE
CIVIL PROCESS SERVER - Authorized Pe	erson to Pick-up: Phone:
	☑ CERTIFIED MAIL E DOOR, or OF YOUR CHOICE:
ATTORNEY (OR ATTORNEY'S AGENT) REQUESTING	
	TEXAS BAR NO./ID NO. 24070883
	on, Texas 77007
PHONE NUMBER: 713 963-8881 phone number	FAX NUMBER: 713 574-2938 fax number
EMAIL ADDRESS:	

CAUSE NO. 201626094

REC	EIFT NG.		75.00	CTM
	*******		TR # 7324	0037
PLAINTIFF: LISTI, SAMUEL		In The	190Lh	
Vs. DEFENDANT: ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYDS		of Harri	District Co c County, Te STRICT COURS TX	exas
CITAT	TION (CERTIF ND)			
THE STATE OF TEXAS County of Harris	· ·	Ī	Chris Danie District Cler	!
TO: ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYDS MAY BE SERVED B'C TO CORPORATION SYSTEM	Y SERVING ITS REGISTERED	AGENT Time:	APR 26 20	16 0'. 30 / Texas
1999 BRYAN STREET SUITE 900 DALLAS	TX 75201 - 3136	Ву	Deputy	<u>ı </u>
Attached is a copy of PHAINTIFFS ORIG OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION AND PRODUCT		F INTERR		RST SET
This instrument was filled on the $\underline{22nd\ day}$ and court. The instrument allached describ			ed cause num	ber
YOU HAVE BEEN SUED, You may employ a written answer with the District Clerk whnext following the expiration of 20 days a default judgment may be taken against you	o issued this citation by after you were served th	/ 10:00 /a	.m on the Mo	nday
TO OFFICER SERVING:		/		
This citation was issued on 26th day seal of said Court.	y of April, 2016, under:	ny hand a	nd	
lssued at request cf: WORRALL, MATTHEW JAMES 100 WAUGH, SUITE 350 HOUSTON, TX 77007 Tel: (713) 963-2881 Bar No.: 24070883		EL, District, Texander, House 4651, House	es ion, Texas 7 iston, Texas	77210)
CLERK' Came to hand the day of mailing to Defendant certified mail, retail copy of this citation together PLAINTIFFS ORTGINAL PETITION FIRST SENT OF to the following addressee at address.	with an attached	tricted o	and execute lelivery, a	d by true
	ADDRESS		***************************************	
(a) ADDRESSEE	Service was executed i (2) TROF, upon the P return receipt inc hereto at	Defendant	as evidence	ed by the
f.	on day of by U.S. Postal deliver	y to		
f	-			
	This citation was not reason:			
	CHRIS DANTML, District Harris County, TEMAS			
/	Βγ	***	,	Deputy
/				

Case 4:16-cv-01534 Document 1-2 Filed in TXSD on 06/01/16 Page 21 of 25 $7015\,0640\,0004\,5594\,0312$

CAUSE NO. 201626094

	RECEIPT NO.	75.00 CTM
	+++	TR # 73240035
PLAINTIFF: LISTI, SAMUEL		n The 190ch
DEFENDANT: ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYES		Judicial District Court of Harris County, Texas 190TH DISTRICT COURT Houston, TX
THE STATE OF TEXAS County of Harris	CITATION (CERTIFIED)	FILED Chris Daniel District Clerk
TO: COLBERT, ALLEM MICHAEL		Time: Harris County, Texas
5415 MARGARET LANE BEAUMONT	TM 77708	By Deputy
Attached is a copy of <u>PLAINTIP</u> OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION AND		
This instrument was filed on the $\underline{2}$ and court. The instrument attached	<u>2nd day of April, 2016</u> , in th describes the claim against	e above cited cause number you.
YOU HAVE BEEN SUFE, You may enwritten answer with the District C next following the expiration of 20 a default judgment may be taken against may be taken ag	lerk who issued this citation O days after you were served	by 10:00 a.m on the Monday
TO OFFICER SERVING: This citation was issued on seal of said Court.	26th day of April, 2016, unde	z my hand and
Issued at request of: WORRALL, MATTHEW JAMES 100 WAUGH, SUITE 350 HOUSTON, TX 77007 Tel: (713) 963-2861 Par No.: 24070383	Chais D Harris 201 Car (P.O. B	ANIEL, District Clerk County, Texas oline, Houston, Texas 77002 ox 4651, Houston, Texas 77210) SEES, WANDA RENZE ULW//10365666
/	CLERK'S RETURN BY MAILING	
Came to hand the	, return receipt requested, a ether with an attached SET OF INTERPOGATORIES FIRST	d copy of
	ADDRESS	HALE.
(a) AUDRESSEE	(2) TROP, upon th	i in accordance with Rule 106 e Defendant as evidenced by the incorporated herein and attached
	by U.S. Postal deliv	very to
	This citation was no reason:	ot executed for the following
	CHRIS DANIEL, Distri Harris Councy, TEMAS	
	Ву	, Deputy

73240035

CONFIRMED FILE DATE: 4/26/2016



72	U.S. Postal Service CERTIFIED MAIL® RECOMMENTED MAIL® RECOMMENT MAIL® RECOMMENT MAIL® RECOMMENT MAIL® RECOMMENT MAIL® RECOMMENT MAIL® RECOMMENT MAIL®	
7015 064	ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYDS BY SERV REGISTERED AGENT C T CORPORATI 1999 BRYAN STREET STE 90 DALLAS, TEXAS 75201-313	8-20 VING ITS ON SYSTEM

2012-22094

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYDS BY SERVING ITS REGISTERED AGENT C T CORPORATION SYSTEM-1999 BRYAN STREET STE 900 DALLAS, TEXAS 75201-3136	A Signature A Signature A Signature Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) CyDate of the Rery Chris Melle D. Is delivery address different from Item 1? If YES, enter delivery address below: No	Chris Daniel District Clerk MAY - 6 2016
9590 9402 1380 5285 6418 30	3. Service Type ☐ Adult Signature ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery ☐ Certified Mail® ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery ☐ Return Receipt for	Harria County, Texas By Deputy
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7015 0640 0004 5594 0329	☐ Collect on Delivery ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery ☐ Mail ☐ Mail ☐ Mail Restricted Delivery ☐ Mail Restricted Delivery ☐ Signature Confirmation ☐ Restricted Delivery	
PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053	Domestic Return Receipt	

USPS TR	 	First-Class Mail Postage & Fees USPS Permit No. G-10
9590 9402 1380	5285 64%8 30	
Postal ServiceHRIS D	Sender: Please print your name, address, and ANICL CLERK CHRIS DANIEL, DISTRICT CLERK CHRIS COUNTY, TEXAS CIVIL INTAKE 第一段O. BOX 4651 HOUSTON, TEXAS 77210	CLERK
BY MAIN PROCES	TEG A Opera	

2016-22094

Case 4:16-cv-01534 Document 1-2 Filed in TXSD on 06/01/16 Page 24 of 25

5/20/2016 11:46:03 AM Chris Daniel - District Clerk Harris County Envelope No. 10742061 By: Arron Sonnier Filed: 5/20/2016 11:46:03 AM

CAUSE NO. 2016-26094

SAMUEL LISTI	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
VS.	§	HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
	§	
ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYD'S AND	§	
ALLEN MICHAEL COLBERT	§	
	§	•
Defendants.	§	190th JUDICIAL DISTRICT

DEFENDANT'S ORIGINAL ANSWER

Defendant ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYD'S files this Original Answer to Plaintiff's Original Petition and would respectfully show the Court the following:

I. ORIGINAL ANSWER

Pursuant to Rule 92 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant generally denies each and every, all and singular, allegation contained within Plaintiff's Original Petition, and demands strict proof then by a preponderance of the credible evidence in accordance with the Constitution and laws of the State of Texas.

II. PRAYER

Defendant Allstate Texas Lloyd's prays that upon final trial and hearing hereof, Plaintiff recovers nothing from Defendant, but Defendant goes from here without delay and recovers costs of court and other such further relief, both general and special, to which Defendant may be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ John B. Reyna

John B. Reyna

State Bar No. 24098318

Roger D. Higgins

State Bar No. 09601500

THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS & IRONS, L.L.P.

Plaza of the Americas

700 N. Pearl Street, Twenty-Fifth Floor

Dallas, Texas 75201-2832

Telephone: (214) 871-8200

Telecopy: (214) 871-8209

<u>ireyna@thompsoncoe.com</u> rhiggins@thompsoncoe.com

mggmo(eyurompsoneoc.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYD'S

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served by electronic file notification and/or facsimile to the following counsel on May 20, 2016:

Matthew J. Worrall

William H. Barfield

Andrew A. Woellner

100 Waugh Drive, Suite 350

Houston, Texas 77007

Telephone: (713) 963-8881

Facsimile: (713) 574-2938

Email: <u>mworrall@potts-law.com</u>

Email: wbarfield@potts-law.com

Email: awoellner@potts-law.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

/s/ John B. Reyna
John B. Reyna